UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

-	
2	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
3	THOMAC W. MCNAMADA
4	THOMAS W. MCNAMARA,)
5	Plaintiff,) Case No.: 2:17-cv-02966-GMN-NJK vs.
6	ORDER
7	CHARLES M. HALLINAN, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	Pending before the Court is the Motion for Reconsideration, (ECF No. 47), filed by
11	Defendant Charles M. Hallinan and Defendant Hallinan Capital Corp. (collectively,
12	"Defendants"), in which Defendants request clarification of the Order, (ECF No. 45), issued by
13	the Honorable Kent J. Dawson or, alternatively, dismissal of this case to allow it to proceed in
14	the proper tribunal. Subsequent to the filing of Defendants' Motion, the case was transferred to
15	the undersigned, pursuant to the Court's Omnibus Transfer Order, (ECF No. 50). Thereafter,
16	on August 9, 2019, Defendants filed a Motion to Stay Proceedings, (ECF No. 99), pending the
17	resolution of their Motion for Reconsideration, (ECF No. 47), or in the alternative, to amend

the scheduling order to extend the dispositive motions deadline. On September 13, 2019,

Defendants and Plaintiff filed their respective Motions for Summary Judgment, (ECF Nos. 108,

20 111).

18

19

21

22

23

Accordingly, because the Omnibus Transfer Order, (ECF No. 50), eliminates the need for the relief requested in Defendants' Motion for Reconsideration, (ECF No. 47), IT IS HEBEBY **ORDERED** that the Motion for Reconsideration, (ECF No. 47), is **DENIED** as moot.

24 ///

25 ///

1	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that in light of the above, Defendants' Motion for Stay,
2	(ECF No. 99), is DENIED as moot .
3	DATED this day of September, 2019.
4	$\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{A}}$
5	- Alle
6	Gloria M. Navarro, District Judge United States District Court
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

25